

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

**JOSEPH McCARTHY**

**PART 17 OF 28**

**BUFILE NUMBER: 121-23278**

SUBJECT Joseph McCarthy  
FILE NUMBER 121-23278  
SECTION NUMBER 9

121 23278-273X3 273X4  
273X5 273X6 273X7, 273X8  
273X9, 273X10, 273X11

**CHANGED TO**

121 41668- 46, 47, 48, 49, 50  
51, 52, 53, 54

NOV 17 1952

7 /

MR. TOLSON

Nov. 10, 1950

L. B. NICHOLS

COMMUNIST IN STATE DEPARTMENT

I talked to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] of NBC  
this afternoon.

28283

[REDACTED] received a copy of Max Lowenthal's book through the mail and he wants to get squared away because he was quite positive that he could not overlook the book in his news program.

I told them briefly about Lowenthal's background and sent copies of the Dondero speech on September 1, 1950, to both, although I previously talked to [REDACTED] about the book.

[REDACTED] wants to meet with me in the next week or 10 days before the book is published in order to work out a line of attack. [REDACTED] has always been very friendly.

[REDACTED] told me something that was somewhat amazing - that about a month ago he talked to [REDACTED] who has been [REDACTED] of the State Department for about the last three months, who told [REDACTED] in response to a blunt question as to whether the McCarthy charges were true, that in effect they were true but that there was no evidence against the individuals who were involved, that they had been careful not to permit themselves to be openly connected with the Party and it was very difficult to get at them.

[REDACTED] stated he thought he would have a further talk with this individual and use that as a springboard of going into the Lowenthal book, illustrating how stooges do the Communists work. I told him I thought it would be a good idea.

LBN:FML

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

67C

INDEXED. Ref 121-23228-274  
NOT RECORDED  
142 NOV 25 1950

INITIALS ON ORIGINAL

DEC 2 1950



## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT: *Ben Mandel of the House Committee on Un-American Activities*

DATE: December 27, 1950

Tolson ☒

Ladd ☒

Clegg ☒

Glavin ☒

Nichols ☒

Rosen ☒

Tracy ☒

Harbo ☒

Belmont ☒

Mohr ☒

Tele. Room ☒

Nease ☒

Gandy ☒

Ben Mandel of the House Committee on Un-American Activities called Mr. Holloman on December 9, 1950, and stated that there was an individual who had on his own free time prepared a very comprehensive index of all Senate and House hearings. This index is in typewritten form and is about 5,000 pages in length. This individual is suffering from a severe heart condition and is expected to die at almost any moment. He is willing to make this index available if we are agreeable to photostating it. Mandel wondered if we wanted this index and if so he could make it available to us if we would give him, Mandel, a photostatic copy.

Mandel went on to state that this individual had not asked any compensation for this index but that he, Mandel, thought this individual was in financial stress and would appreciate anything that could be paid to him for it.

Mr. Holloman advised Mr. Mandel that we normally did not have appropriations available for such expenditures. Mandel stated that such payment, of course, was not necessary.

While talking to Ben Mandel on other matters he informed me that [REDACTED], had this index; that he was certain if we asked [REDACTED] he would be glad to loan us a copy to be photostated. He stated that the index was exceedingly good as it not only indexed the Congressional Record but indexed numerous Congressional hearings and the like.

I see no purpose to be served in trying to get a copy of this.

LPN:CMC

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

RECORDED - 47

INDEXED - 47

JAN 17 1951

19

65 JAN 20 1951

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson  
 FROM : L. B. Nichols  
 SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

DATE: January 6, 1951

With reference to Ben Mandel's information that [REDACTED] had indexed the Congressional Record.

You are advised that in checking further on [REDACTED] I have ascertained that he is the individual presently employed in the Civil Service Commission who has been suspected of being the source of information Senator McCarthy has used. I have talked to Mr. Ladd and it appears that his so-called index consists of data taken not only from FBI reports but also data from Congressional hearings and the like.

Since we have been investigating [REDACTED] I think it would be inappropriate at this time to go to him and no further action will be taken unless the Director and you have a contrary view.

LBN:CMC

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
 EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
 OTHERWISE

RECORDED - 47  
 INDEXED - 47

JAN 17 1951

19

65 JAN 20 1951

b7c

January 12, 1951

Chairman  
Foreign Relations Committee  
United States Senate  
Washington, D. C.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

The attached is for your information.

Enclosure

b7C

121-23278-277

JAN 15 1951

80

RECORDED

JAN 12 1951

COMM - NEW

JAN 15 2 18 PM '51

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED - MAIL ROOM

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

JAN 26 1951

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Nichols  
 FROM : M. J. Jones  
 SUBJECT: ROBERT J. MORRIS

DATE: January 23, 1951

Tolson  
 Ladd  
 Clegg  
 Glavin  
 Nichols  
 Rosen  
 Tracy  
 Harbo  
 Belmont  
 Mohr  
 Tele. Room  
 Nease  
 Gandy

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
 EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
 OTHERWISE

In accordance with your request the following was obtained from files regarding Robert J. Morris who was Minority Counsel of the Subcommittee on Foreign Relations (Tydings Committee) United States Senate.

BACKGROUND:

Classified 2909  
 Exempt from GDS 2  
 Date of Declassification Indefinite

Morris furnished the following information concerning himself before the Federal Grand Jury in New York City in the Amerasia case.

It is noted that on March 21, 1950, Senator Hickenlooper wrote the Director requesting any pertinent information on Morris who was being considered at that time for appointment as Co-Counsel to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. At that time Assistant Special Agent in Charge Whelan of the New York Office, advised the Bureau that Morris was a New York secretary of Congressman Coudert and was formerly his secretary when Coudert was a State Senator engaged in Communist investigations. He also stated that Morris was at that time (March 1950) a member of the law firm of Hochwald, Morris and Richmond, at 40 Exchange Place, New York City. He said that Morris had been a Lieutenant Commander assigned to the third Naval District ONI during World War II. He characterized Morris

RECORDED - 68

EX-121

SE 16  
 INDEXED 68

JAN 26 1951

3908 (DRC)



*Memo from M. A. Jones to Mr. Nichols*

January 23, 1951

as a member of the "anti-Communist Intelligencia" of New York. He advised that Morris is personally known to Special Agent [REDACTED] who considers that Morris has always been most cooperative with the Bureau and holds the FBI in highest esteem. Senator Hickenlooper was advised that Morris had not been investigated by the FBI nor had he been officially associated with the Bureau. [REDACTED]

b7c-D  
b6

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXXFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FOIPA DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET3

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion.

- ☒ Deleted under exemption(s) b6 b7(c)(d) with no segregable material available for release to you.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party with no reference to you or the subject of your request.
- ☐ Information pertained only to a third party. Your name is listed in the title only.
- ☐ Document(s) originating with the following government agency(ies) \_\_\_\_\_, was/were forwarded to them for direct response to you.

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) referred for consultation to the following government agency(ies); \_\_\_\_\_ as the information originated with them. You will be advised of availability upon return of the material to the FBI.

\_\_\_\_\_ Page(s) withheld for the following reason(s):  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

☐ For your information: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

- ☒ The following number is to be used for reference regarding these pages:

121-23278-278 p. 3, 4, 5

XXXXXX  
XXXXXX  
XXXXXX
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
 X DELETED PAGE(S) X  
 X NO DUPLICATION FEE X  
 X FOR THIS PAGE X  
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

121-23278-279, 280, 281, 282  
283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288  
289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294,  
295

**CHANGED TO**

121-41668-55, 56, 57, 58, 59  
60, 61, 62, 63, 64  
65, 66, 67, 68, 69  
70, 71

NOV 17 1952

---

---

Mr. Peyton Ford  
Deputy Attorney General

October 16, 1950

Director, FBI

1946

UNKNOWN SUBJECT

(SOURCE OF SENATOR JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY'S  
INFORMATION REGARDING GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES)  
REMOVAL OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY  
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

DECLASSIFIED

ON

2909  
4/14/78 DJS/PLC

Reference is made to your memorandum dated September 19, 1950, captioned as above requesting this Bureau to reopen its investigation in this matter and to interview Messrs. Seth W. Richardson, L. V. Meloy and Robert Fenn of the Loyalty Review Board, Mr. James E. Hatcher, Civil Service Commission, Mr. Donald L. Nicholson, State Department, and [redacted] Loyalty Review Board.

Pursuant to your instructions, Mr. Richardson was interviewed. He advised that at approximately the time Senator McCarthy's statement concerning the State Department broke, Mr. Richardson received a telephone call from Drew Pearson, newspaper columnist, with whom he is friendly. Pearson said in effect, "I have been told by a member of Senator McCarthy's staff that he has been obtaining information from an individual employed in the Loyalty Review Board. Also an individual employed by the Loyalty Review Board has been seen at Senator McCarthy's office. And what about this fellow [redacted] who works for [redacted]." Mr. Richardson advised that he cannot say Pearson said [redacted] had been furnishing information to Senator McCarthy or had been seen at Senator McCarthy's office, but the implication was obvious. At the time of Pearson's call, Mr. Richardson was not aware of [redacted] identity as an employee of the Loyalty Review Board. During Mr. Richardson's conversation with Pearson, Pearson inquired as to where he might secure a list of names of the employees of the Loyalty Review Board. As a result of this inquiry, Mr. Richardson and Mr. L. V. Meloy, Executive Secretary of the Loyalty Review Board prepared a list which was subsequently given to Pearson.

Mr. Richardson advised that he is willing to have the above facts recited to Pearson should the Bureau interview Mr. Pearson in this matter in order to refresh Mr. Pearson's memory concerning his previous discussion with Mr. Richardson. Mr. Richardson further advised that Pearson can be informed that he, Richardson, has no objection to Pearson's revealing information concerning this matter to the Bureau.

RECORDED - 30

121-23278-296

It is requested that you advise whether you desire to have Mr. Drew Pearson interviewed concerning instant case.

APPROPRIATE AGENCIES

AND FIELD OFFICES

ADVISED BY ROUTING

SLIP(S) OF DEPT. 55

DATE 4-27-78

Tolson  
Ladd  
Clegg  
Glavin  
Nichols  
Rosen  
Tracy  
Harbo  
Mohr  
Tele. Room  
Nease  
Gandy

FEB 21 1951

67C



copy;bw

May 11, 1951

Mr. Tolson

28282

L. B. Nichols Communists in the State Department

In a conversation with Congressman Fred E. Busbey I inquired regarding the statement attributed to him to the effect there are 65 potential "Remingtons" now in Government posts. The Congressman stated he has a list of names which he would be glad to furnish us on a strictly personal and confidential basis. I told him we would be glad to receive the list of names. He will send them to us in the next day or two.

Busbey also told me he received a three page letter from John Stewart Service as a result of his statement to the press, and that this three-page letter in his opinion "hangs" Service. He stated he is now in the process of formulating a reply and will send us copies of Service's letter and of his reply.

He stated the other day he attended a staff conference of the Civil Service Loyalty Review Board. He is very much impressed with the attitude of former Senator Bingham and stated he was asked to say a few words to the staff. He stated in the course of his remarks he told the staff that any member of the Loyalty Review Board or of the staff who opposed Senator Bingham's recent amendment had no right to remain on the Loyalty Review Board, and he has called on Senator Bingham formally to furnish a list of names of persons who have opposed his amendment. Senator Bingham will furnish Busbey with this information.

cc: Mr. Ladd  
Mr. Belmont

LBN:CMC

ADDENDUM: LBN:mb 5/12/51 There is attached hereto the list of 65 names mentioned above along with an exchange of correspondence which Congressman Busbey has had with Conrad E. Snow, Chairman of the State Department Loyalty Board.

Attachment

ENCL

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

E. L. Nichols

INDEXED-81

NOT RECORDED

133 OCT 22 1951

ORIGINAL FILED IN 100-20000-14X

fg

April 17, 1951

Mr. Conrad E. Snow  
Chairman  
Loyalty Security Board  
Department of State  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Snow:

As a member of the Committee on Appropriations I am particularly interested in the loyalty and security programs of all of the agencies of government. Therefore, in order to obtain information as to the progress of these programs in the Department of State, I would appreciate the answers to the following questions at your earliest opportunity:

1. Are you familiar with the provisions of the President's Executive Order #9835 dated March 21, 1947?
2. Has the Department of State set up its Loyalty Board as provided in this executive order and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Civil Service Commission Loyalty Board under the order?
3. What is the correct name of this board as used in the Department of State and the names of its members?
4. When did this Loyalty Board begin to function?
5. How many full field investigations by the F.B.I. of the State Department employees have been referred to your Loyalty Board?
6. How many of the full field investigation cases have been referred to the Loyalty Review Board?
7. How many of these cases have been adjudicated?
8. What was the average number of days per case necessary for adjudication counting from the time you received it from the F.B.I. until you reached a decision within the agency?
9. How many employees were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board under the provisions of Executive Order #9835?

Mr. Conrad E. Snow - - 2

10. How many of these employees that were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board have been removed?
11. How many of your ineligible determinations made by your Loyalty Board were appealed to the Loyalty Review Board of the Civil Service Commission?
12. What was the average number of days per appeal to the Loyalty Review Board counting in the time the Loyalty Review Board was advised of an appeal up to and including the time you received a decision by the Loyalty Review Board?
13. How many of the ineligible cases processed by your Loyalty Board were reversed by the Loyalty Review Board?
14. Has your Board taken any further action on the cases in which the Department of State Loyalty Board arrived at an ineligible rating which were reversed by the Loyalty Review Board?
15. Have you ever had occasion to request the F.B.I. to make a further investigation of any Department of State employee who had been previously cleared either by a Loyalty Board or by the Loyalty Review Board?
16. In how many cases of your employees did you issue an interrogatory?

Thank you in advance for your cooperation and assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Fred E. Busbey, M.C.

May 5, 1951

Mr. Conrad E. Snow  
Chairman  
Loyalty Security Board  
Department of State  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Snow:

Under date of April 17 I wrote you for specific information regarding the progress of the loyalty and security program of the Department of State. Up to this time I have not been favored with a reply. I call your attention to the sixteen questions which were propounded in the letter of April 17. I shall appreciate your reply at an early date.

Sincerely yours,

Fred E. Busby, M.C.

Loyalty

May 10, 1951

Mr. Conrad E. Snow  
Chairman  
Loyalty Security Board  
Department of State  
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Snow:

Thank you for your reply to my letter of inquiry of April 17, 1951.

Inasmuch as you have combined your statistics on both the loyalty and security issues, it is necessary that I request clarification of the information and answers to further questions.

It was intended that the questions in my letter would be directed only to cases of applicants and employees coming within the operation of Executive Order No. 9835 and, therefore, your answers to the 5th and 6th questions require further discussion.

How many full field investigations of State Department employees by the FBI under the provisions of Executive Order No. 9835 were referred to the Loyalty Board? There are no security provisions in Executive Order No. 9835, but if the FBI made investigations on a question of loyalty and these cases were cleared by your Loyalty Board but converted into security cases, please say so, but do not confuse security investigations made by the FBI with loyalty investigations under the executive order.

This will undoubtedly call for a review of your answer to No. 6.

From your answer to questions 6 and 7 it appears that 66 cases cleared by your board are pending before the Loyalty Review Board on post audit. Am I correct in this assumption?

Out of the 323 cases cleared by your board and referred to the Loyalty Review Board for clearance on post audit, how many were denied clearance by the Loyalty Review Board and referred back for your further consideration?

In your response to question 9 you mention one case found ineligible under Executive Order No. 9835 and also found to be a security risk. Was this employee removed, and, if so, was the removal made under the provisions of Executive Order No. 9835 or as a security risk?



Mr. Conrad E. Snow - 2

Further, you mention that 11 employees left the State Department after charges were preferred but before a hearing. Were these 11 employees charged under the provisions of Executive Order No. 9835? Are they included among the 418 full field investigations reported by the FBI?

Also, you say 16 employees were found to be security risks. Are these 16 cases separate and apart from the 418 full field investigations reported by the FBI? You mention that one employee was separated by administration action on grounds of suitability. Was this case separate and apart from the 418 full field investigations? Was the separation effected under the provisions of the Lloyd-LaFollette Act (title 5, sec. 652, U.S.Code)?

Have you any explanation of the ineligible finding of the board in but one case out of 418 full field investigations?

Sincerely yours,

Fred E. Busbey, M.C.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

WASHINGTON

May 9, 1951

My dear Mr. Busbey:

Reference is made to your letter of April 17, 1951, expressing an interest in the loyalty and security programs of all of the agencies of the Government and directing certain questions to me as to the progress of these programs in the Department of State. I am glad to be in a position to answer your questions. Your questions and my answers are set out below.

1. Are you familiar with the provisions of the President's Executive Order #9835 dated March 21, 1947?

Yes.

2. Has the Department of State set up its Loyalty Board as provided in this executive order and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Civil Service Commission Loyalty Board under the order?

Yes. Secretary of State Marshall established a Personnel Security Board on July 9, 1947, five months prior to the organization of the Loyalty Review Board. Conrad E. Snow was appointed Chairman and Maynard Barnes and Farrell St. Claire, Members of this original Board. On September 17, 1947, procedures were issued governing the operation of the Board. These procedures were revised and expanded on June 8, 1948, to take into account the regulations of the Loyalty Review Board which had been issued subsequent to the Department's initial promulgation of its regulations. A further revision was made on March 11, 1949, in the process of an overall modernization of the Department's Regulations and Procedures. Lastly the Loyalty and Security Regulations and Procedures were revised on April 16, 1951 (copy enclosed) to conform

the

The Honorable  
Fred E. Busbey,  
House of Representatives

the Board's operations with certain amendments by the Loyalty Review Board of its Regulations and with the requirements of Public Law 733, 81st Congress.

3. What is the correct name of this board as used in the Department of State and the names of its members?

Loyalty Security Board of the Department of State.  
The Board's name was changed from Personnel Security Board to Loyalty Security Board by the revision of the Board's Regulations dated June 8, 1949.  
The names of the Members of the Loyalty Security Board are as follows:

Conrad E. Snow, Chairman  
Theodore C. Achilles  
John O. Ball  
Homer M. Byington, Jr.  
Howard Donovan  
John W. Jago  
Henry H. Kelly  
Philip L. Kelsor  
Randolph Kidder  
Arthur A. Kinball  
Harold G. Kiasick  
John E. Ledy  
Francis T. Murphy  
William M. Rountree  
Robert L. Smyth  
Arthur G. Stevens  
James W. Swihart

4. When did this Loyalty Board begin to function?

The Personnel Security Board, now known as the Loyalty Security Board, began to function on July 9, 1947.  
The first case was adjudicated by the Board on September 29, 1947.

5. How many full field investigations by the F.B.I. of the State Department employees have been referred to your Loyalty Board?

As of April 1, 1951, the Loyalty Security Board had received 451 full field investigations by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Of this number 418 were

received



received by the Board for adjudication both as to loyalty and security. The remaining 33 investigative reports were referred to the Board for a determination as to security only, the question of loyalty having been resolved by a Regional Loyalty Board or not being in issue.

6. How many of the full field investigation cases have been referred to the Loyalty Review Board?

As of April 1, 1951, 323 full field investigation cases had been adjudicated by the Loyalty Security Board and referred to the Loyalty Review Board. This figure is a head count only and does not include 44 cases which have been reconsidered and forwarded to the Loyalty Review Board. Also the figure of 323 does not include the security cases which had been adjudicated because they are not subject to a post-audit review by the Loyalty Review Board and consequently are not referred to that Board.

7. How many of these cases have been adjudicated?

As of April 1, 1951, the Loyalty Review Board had post-audited (adjudicated) 257 of these cases. The figure of 257 likewise is a head count and does not include the post-audit of 5 reconsiderations.

8. What was the average number of days per case necessary for adjudication counting from the time you received it from the F.B.I. until you reached a decision within the agency?

The average time required for the Board to reach a decision, computed from the date of receipt of the case by the Board until a formal decision is reached by the Board is 55.175 days. This computation does not include the additional time that may be required for the reconsideration of a case due to the receipt of supplemental investigative reports subsequent to the

initial

initial adjudication of such case.

9. How many employees were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board under the provisions of Executive Order #9835?

One employee has been found ineligible by the Loyalty Security Board under the provisions of Executive Order 9835. This determination was reached on April 19, 1951. However, the Loyalty Security Board has found 16 employees to be security risks to the Department and has recommended their removal from employment. The figure of 16 does not include the one case found ineligible under Executive Order 9835 although this individual was also found to be a security risk to the Department of State. Of the 16 security risk cases 14 have been separated from employment in the Department. Two of the cases determined to be security risks are presently on appeal to the Secretary of State. Moreover, it should be pointed out that 11 additional individuals left the Department subsequent to the Board's decision to prefer charges against them and prior to the holding of a hearing. In addition, one employee was separated by administrative action on grounds of suitability on the recommendation of the Board.

10. How many of these employees that were found to be ineligible by your Loyalty Board have been removed?

See answer to Question No. 9. Of the 16 cases found to be security risks and therefore ineligible, 14 have been separated from employment in the Department and 2 are on appeal to the Secretary of State. These two employees are presently suspended. The one employee who has been found ineligible on loyalty and security grounds has not as yet exhausted the appeal privileges and therefore has not been separated. However, this employee is presently suspended.

11. How many of your ineligible determinations made by your Loyalty Board were appealed to the Loyalty Review Board of the Civil Service Commission?

None. However, the one ineligible determination on grounds of loyalty has not yet reached the stage for appeal to the Loyalty Review Board.

12. What

- 5-
12. What was the average number of days per appeal to the Loyalty Review Board counting in the time the Loyalty Review Board was advised of an appeal up to and including the time you received a decision by the Loyalty Review Board?

Not applicable.

13. How many of the ineligible cases processed by your Loyalty Board were reversed by the Loyalty Review Board?

Not applicable.

14. Has your Board taken any further action on the cases in which the Department of State Loyalty Board arrived at an ineligible rating which were reversed by the Loyalty Review Board?

Not applicable.

15. Have you ever had occasion to request the F.B.I. to make a further investigation of any Department of State employee who had been previously cleared either by a Loyalty Board or by the Loyalty Review Board?

Yes, in a number of cases. The requests for such further investigation in general arise where supplemental information is received which, when considered in conjunction with the entire investigative report, requires further investigation to resolve.

16. In how many cases of your employees did you issue an interrogatory?

As of April 1, 1951, the Loyalty Security Board had issued interrogatories to 88 individuals whose cases involved the issue of loyalty and security. In the cases of 5 of these individuals more than one interrogatory had been issued. In addition, the Board had issued interrogatories to 3 individuals whose cases involved the issue of security only.

Sincerely yours,

Conrad E. Snow  
Chairman  
Loyalty Security Board

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

67C



Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 6/28/51

FROM : SAC, Milwaukee

SUBJECT: INFORMATION CONCERNING  
STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES

LGE COMMUNISTS IN THE STATE DEPARTMENT

On 6/25/51

Superior, Wis., furnished SA [redacted] with the enclosed 3 page ink written statement dated 7/6/50 and signed PAUL E. SULLIVAN, Arlington, Va., and witnessed by DONALD A. SURINE. [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that this document had been sent to him by one [redacted] official of Dahlberg Light & Power Co., Solon Springs, Wis., by means of a personal letter to [redacted] from [redacted] enclosing the above-mentioned statement and directed to [redacted] on 6/23/51. In his letter to [redacted] [redacted] stated, "enclosed you will find some papers which were picked up by our meter reader, [redacted] in the town of Minong recently."

"I am sending them to you thinking that perhaps they may be of some use to you or to the FBI office. It looks as though these are copies and might have been lost by someone investigating this matter."

SA [redacted] contacted [redacted] who advised that the enclosed statement, together with the stapled brief mimeographed flyer attached thereto, had been found in the driveway of the summer home of one [redacted] in rural Minong, Wis. The documents, according to [redacted] were not enclosed in an envelope or protected from the elements in any manner, thus indicating to [redacted] that they had either been lost or placed there recently. [redacted] advised further that the Power Co. records show that [redacted] lives at [redacted], West Allis, 14, Wis. and stated there has been no power consumption at [redacted] summer home since Oct. 1950, which indicated to [redacted] that the summer home had not been occupied during the past 8 months.

The Milwaukee Office indices contain no information re [redacted] or [redacted]

The enclosed is being furnished for the information of the Bureau and no action is being taken at this time by the Milwaukee Office.

121-0

Enclosure

AMSD REGISTERED

RECORDED - 51

INDEXED - 51

JUN 29 1951

11

EX-130

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

ENCL

No action necessary. This statement previously received. See 121-23278-240.

1 ENCLOSURES FOR Bureau OFFICE

RE FILE NO. M1121-0

INFORMATION CONCERNING  
STATE DEPT. EMPLOYEES

121-23278-291

Attached is one of four statements received from State Department employees who worked on the project of removing all evidence of communist activities, etc., from the files of State Department employees.

121-23278-291

July 6, 1950

Page 1

The following information is given by me freely and voluntarily without any promises or threats. I furnish this information because it is the truth and I feel it is my patriotic duty to furnish the facts as I apprehend them.

I am living at 1902 North Dixie Street, Arlington, Virginia at the present time.

In August 1946 I was released from the U.S. Navy in California. I came to Washington, D.C. and while in Washington, D.C. I was looking for a job. I went into the Walter Johnson Building, a State Department building at 1800 New York Ave N.W. I talked to a fellow in the State Department by the name of Williams. I got a temporary clearing job in the file at the Walter Johnson Bldg. These files were the Departmental personnel files located in the Walter Johnson Bldg. I started work in these files in Sept 1946. When I started for duty I was told that I would be working in a project or these files. The project had been going on for sometime before I started. There were at least 8 persons who were working on the project. I was not formally and officially introduced as to what the project of the project was, but I was not formally and officially introduced from what I was indicated by the other clerks, and the other clerks were to go through each personnel file and pull out all derogatory material from the file. In addition to the

Page 1  
July 6, 1950

least personnel forms, the file contained all kinds  
 of letters, reports, memorandums, etc. all of the data  
 on the project were pulled out of the file all  
 matters considered derogatory either morally  
 or politically.

The project was very confused but I and  
 the other clerks pulled out of each personnel  
 file any material which could be considered  
 derogatory. This material was removed and  
 some were thrown in wastebaskets by us  
 and some were thrown in <sup>P28</sup> to a cabinet  
 box. I don't know what happened to the  
 derogatory material we pulled out from the  
 file but I do know of my own knowledge  
 that a good lot of it was destroyed.  
 I do not recall details of each personnel  
 file I examined, but the material I pulled out  
 of the file pertained to either the morale of the  
 person or in some way reflected on two of her  
 loyalty. I recall one thick report on one  
 state department employee who was accused  
 of being a spy for the USSR which put a lot of  
 outbursts, organizations, etc. was ~~there~~  
 removed from the file and dropped out.  
 I worked from September till the end  
 of December 1946 working on this file  
 project pulling out and destroying of

Paul E. Sullivan  
 July 6, 1950



Page 2.  
the derogatory material as per my understanding given

me.  
I left on Dec 31, 1946 and the Dept on the  
Personnel file was still not furnished, but my  
Temporary appointment ran out and my employment

with the State Dept ended.  
I can recall who the official in charge of  
those files was I met him only once during  
four years but I could easily recognize him if  
I saw him.

I have read the statement of three  
Kago and the facts are true to the best  
of my knowledge and belief.

Witnessed:  
Signed: [Signature]  
Paul E. Sullivan  
July 6, 1950

Donald A. [Signature]  
July 6, 1950  
1202 N. 15th St.  
Jackson - 4 0369

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : A. H. Belmont

DATE: July 19, 1951

FROM : C. E. Hennrich

SUBJECT: STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES  
MATERIAL FURNISHED BY [REDACTED]

LGB; IS - C and ESPIONAGE

Communist in the State Department  
PURPOSE:

To advise that material furnished by [REDACTED] has been reviewed by Espionage Section regarding [REDACTED] and Alger Hiss. No information contained therein which requires action.

BACKGROUND:

The material furnished by [REDACTED] has been reviewed by the Espionage Section. It is noted that the Loyalty Section is taking action regarding [REDACTED], Esther Brunauer and William T. Stone, and that the Internal Security Section has reviewed the material regarding [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and Alger Hiss references in the material were reviewed by the Espionage Section. These references do not contain any material which would necessitate any action at this time by the Espionage Section.

RECOMMENDATION:

That photostatic copies of [REDACTED] be placed in the main files on Alger Hiss, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

RECORDED - 8

INDEXED - 8

121-23278-298  
AUG 15 1951  
16

SEP 1 1951

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT

FROM : C. H. STANLEY

SUBJECT: STATE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES -  
MATERIAL FURNISHED BY [REDACTED]  
LGE; IS - C AND ESPIONAGE

DATE: July 10, 1951

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

PURPOSE: Communicate to the State Department

To record receipt of material furnished by [REDACTED] regarding certain employees and former employees of the State Department; to advise of action taken and contemplated regarding this matter. U

BACKGROUND:

On June 15, 1951, [REDACTED] delivered to the Director's office a copy of a memorandum dated June 4, 1951, captioned "Allegations by Former State Department Employee." This relates principally to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Substantially the allegation is that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] who reportedly are still employed by the Department of State, were appointed by Alger Hiss to positions under his supervision on the Special Political Desk for United Nations Affairs in 1945 or 1946. This memorandum also contains information relating to a publication "Postwar Foreign Policy Preparation 1939 - 1945." This publication was prepared at the instigation of the President and covers the period of from the beginning of the war in Europe until the United Nations Conference in San Francisco in 1945. This memorandum notes that said publication listed an index of persons who directly participated in its preparation numbered among whom were [REDACTED] Esther C. Brunauer, [REDACTED] Alger Hiss, William T. Stone and [REDACTED].

For your information the records of the Loyalty Section do not disclose that an investigation of either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] has been conducted under the provisions of Executive Order 9835. It is noted that on the basis of the allegations contained in said memorandum the most that could be undertaken would be a preliminary inquiry. Bureau files are now being checked relative to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with the end in mind of initiating the appropriate action should it be determined that both these persons are employed within the purview of the Loyalty Order.

RECORDED-44  
INDEXED-44

121-23278-299

b7C

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 62-57212-1



Instant memorandum notes with reference to [redacted] that he is currently out of government service and is reportedly teaching at Syracuse University.

Esther C. Brunauer has been the subject of extensive investigation under Executive Order 9835. The latest advice available to the Loyalty Section discloses that Brunauer is under suspension from her position with the Department of State as a result of action taken by the Navy Department against her husband, Stephen Brunauer.

[redacted] is not employed by the United States Government at this time. He reportedly is serving the Government of Columbia, South America, in an advisory capacity on monetary matters. [redacted]

Alger Hiss, as you know, is currently serving a prison sentence as a result of his conviction on a perjury charge in Federal Court.

The William T. Stone mentioned in this memorandum is believed identical with William Treadwell Stone of the Department of State. Stone, as has Brunauer, has been investigated under the provisions of Executive Order 9835.

[redacted] is an admitted espionage agent.

For your information photostatic copies of instant memorandum have been made available to the Espionage and Internal Security Sections of the Security Division for their information and whatever action is deemed appropriate regarding the individuals named above and contained in instant memorandum who are not currently government employees.

As is noted above appropriate action will be taken regarding [redacted] and [redacted] and copies of this memorandum as well as the material furnished by [redacted] are being disseminated for each of the other case files on the individuals mentioned above. Where necessary additional investigation relative to Brunauer and Stone will be initiated by the Loyalty Section.

ACTION:

It is recommended that appropriate inquiry or investigation be initiated regarding [redacted] and [redacted] by the Loyalty Section upon completion of the necessary file review. 4

It is further recommended that supplemental investigation be initiated if necessary on Brunauer and Stone. 4

It is also recommended that the Internal Security and Espionage Sections take the necessary action regarding those individuals named in [redacted] who are not now government employees within the purview of Executive Order 9835. 4

Correlation Unit  
handling Sp. Inquiry  
on [redacted]

Done  
[redacted]

Done  
[redacted]

b7c

DO-6

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

June 15, 1951

Mr. Tolson             
Mr. Ladd             
Mr. Clegg             
Mr. Glavin             
Mr. Nichols             
Mr. Rosen             
Mr. Tracy             
Mr. Harbo             
Mr. Alden             
Mr. Belmont             
Mr. Laughlin             
Mr. Jones             
Mr. Mohr             
Tele. Room             
Mr. Nease             
Miss Holmes             
Miss Gandy           

**[REDACTED]**, forwarded the attached document to me, thinking we might be interested in reviewing it. He is desirous of having it back; therefore, when we are completed with it it should be returned to him at **[REDACTED]**

Attachment

GAN

ENCLOSURE

44

Returned To  
**[REDACTED]**  
6/26/51  
gan

INDEXED-44

6-25-51  
Photostatic Copy of Material  
made & sent

RECORDED-44

121-23278-300

INDEXED-44

See Memo Mr. Stanley to Mr. Belmont  
State Dept. Employees - Material  
Furnished by **[REDACTED]** dated 7/10/51  
cc - Espionage  
Internal Security  
+ case files. **[REDACTED]**

F273  
26 SEP 8 1951

*This is my last copy would you  
mind returning?*

Re: State Department

June 4, 1951.

### Allegations by former State Department Employee

A former employee of the Department called on me and offered assistance relative to exposing the undesirables still on the Department's payroll.

It was indicated that when Hiss took over the Special Political Desk for United Nations Affairs in 1945 or 1946 he put on one as one of his principal assistants. He is alleged to be still on the State Department payroll and all during the Hiss criminal proceedings he was mysteriously ill. was likewise connected with the old Special Senate Committee to investigate the Munitions Industry for which Hiss was counsel.

Hiss likewise put on one who was deputy to Mr. Hiss at the United Nations conference in San Francisco. He is currently in charge of all appointments to the United Nations and is alleged to be the right hand man of Warren Austin.

It was further alleged that many people in the Far Eastern Division who were recommended by Hiss have been shifted to cultural relations staffs and are still employed by State.

It was likewise indicated that Mr. Hiss kept handwritten notes on the Yalta conference which were later used by Department employees in preparing the volume, "Postwar Foreign Policy Preparation". These notes are allegedly still in existence and are in the custody of one "Beckworth".

### STATE DEPARTMENT TESTIMONY ON THE MATTER OF EMPLOYEES RECOMMENDED BY HISS WHO ARE STILL IN THE DEPARTMENT.

On page 686 of the 1952 State Department hearings before the House Appropriations Committee the following colloquy seems to cover the point:

#### "Loyalty Check of Mission Personnel"

Mr. Rooney. The next question that I have asked on previous occasions. The mission to the United Nations was organized at a time when Alger Hiss was one of the architects; is that right?

Mr. Humelsine. Yes; he was the office director of this particular organization at the time he left the Government in February 1947, I believe it was.

Mr. Rooney. Has this office and every one of the personnel been checked with regard to security?

Mr. Humelsine. Yes; every one.

Mr. Rooney. Since the conclusion of the Hiss case?

Mr. Humelsine. I think every person in this shop has been checked and rechecked since Mr. Hiss left the Department. That is my recollection. I want to check that for the record to be absolutely sure.

Mr. Rooney. This is a very important matter and I want it to be absolutely accurate in the record.

Mr. Humelsine. If my recollection is correct they have been.



Mr. Rooney. And I also want to know if there is anybody, anyone of the 190 employees who were recommended to the Department by Hiss?

Mr. Humelsine. I do not believe there is anyone in this group who was recommended by Mr. Hiss. I will check that with the personnel officer. But it is my recollection-- I was not in this area at that time, but I believe Mr. Peurifoy and Mr. Husk, who took over Mr. Hiss's job told me they had checked the entire personnel very carefully, and that very point was investigated because of the fact that Mr. Hiss had occupied this particular post in the Department.

Mr. Hickerson. May I add this statement, since I took over my present position when the Hiss investigation and trial was under way. I asked Mr. Peurifoy if every individual in the Bureau of United Nations Affairs had been checked since that time and if everybody in the mission in New York had been checked for loyalty, and he told me that they had, every last member of the organization. That was one of the very points in mind when I was talking to him, and I was told categorically that every individual had been checked and that the Department was completely satisfied as to their loyalty.

Mr. Rooney. Have you yourself guarded carefully against the possibility of anyone who might be disloyal getting into this agency?

Mr. Hickerson. I have, indeed."

#### STATE DEPARTMENT REGISTER FOR 1950.

This register confirms the employment of the two individuals mentioned by the informant and gives their background as follows:



SOME COMMENTS ON THE STATE DEPARTMENT PUBLICATION  
"POSTWAR FOREIGN POLICY PREPARATION 1939-1945."

This document was prepared at the instigation of the President and covers the period from the beginning of the War in Europe until the United Nations Conference in San Francisco in 1945. The volume was published in February 1950.

An index of persons listed in the back of the book being confined to those directly participating in postwar preparation. This index comprises almost 500 names including Members of Congress, foreign dignitaries and American Government employees.

A casual reading of the list reveals many familiar names of those who have been more or less definitely identified with left wing circles if not with the actual underground Communist movement. Among them are the following:

to be

is currently out of Government and is believed

Esther C. Brunauer -

Mrs. Brunauer will be remembered as the individual who, together with her husband, has been before the Loyalty Review Board on several occasions. She has been named by a number of former Communists as having engaged in Communist activities. She is currently under suspension pending a further review of her case. She was also publicly named by Senator McCarthy and testified before the Tydings Committee in refutation of the charges.

He is mentioned as a representative of

Alger Hiss -

There are 23 references to Hiss in the publication, who had a great many responsibilities in connection with International affairs during this period. He is, of course, presently serving a prison sentence in connection with his denial of participating in the Communist conspiracy as charged by Whittaker Chambers.

William T. Stone -

He is mentioned as an alternate member of the Advisory Committee on Post-War Foreign Policy; member of the sub-committee of the Advisory Committee on Economic Reconstruction.

William T. Stone has been a controversial figure for a good many years, his case having been before the Loyalty Board on a number of occasions, in each of which he has been cleared.

B.

He is mentioned as a representative o

There are many other individuals whose names have figured  
in various Communist investigations including  
Phillip Jessup, , L. White  
White.

b7c b6

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT *AB*

FROM : C. H. STANLEY *CHS*

SUBJECT: COMMUNIST INFILTRATION INTO THE  
STATE DEPARTMENT

DATE: May 15, 1951

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

PURPOSE: In accordance with the Director's request to be advised of the number of the 570 persons in the State Department on whom we have conducted full field loyalty investigations in which there has been some evidence of Communist Party affiliations, sympathy or association are still employed in the State Department or some other Government agency, there is attached hereto a blind memorandum listing 142 of these cases to assist our liaison representative with the State Department in determining the present employment status of these individuals. *U*

BACKGROUND: By memorandum dated March 16, 1951, captioned "Communist Infiltration into the Armed Forces, Industrial Plants, and the State Department," the Director was advised that as of March 13, 1951, there had been a total of 570 loyalty cases conducted on applicants and employees of the State Department in which there had been some evidence of Communist Party affiliation, sympathy or association with individuals alleged to be Communist sympathizers at some time. The Director noted, "Just how many are still employed in State or some other Government agency. H." *U*

To determine the present employment status of these 570 individuals, files have been reviewed and a list prepared with necessary identifying data on 142 of these cases. This list, in blind memorandum form, is attached for the assistance of our liaison representative with the State Department to determine present employment status. If the individual is not employed by the State Department and State Department files show transfer to another Government agency, this information should be obtained. *U*

The remainder of the 570 cases are being reviewed and similar identifying data will be furnished to Liaison when additional lists are prepared. *U*

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that our liaison representative with the State Department contact that Department to determine the employment status of the individuals identified on the attached list. *U*


RECORDED - 26

NOV 30 1951

Attachment

57 NOV 16 1951

*Rec'd from State  
Sept 13/51*



28280

- 1. [redacted] - 4022
- 2. [redacted]

3. Esther Brundage [redacted]

Communists in the  
State Department

- 4. [redacted]
- 5. [redacted]
- 6. [redacted]
- 7. [redacted]
- 8. [redacted]
- 9. [redacted]
- 10. [redacted]
- 11. [redacted]
- 12. [redacted]
- 13. [redacted]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

- 14. Phillip Jessup [redacted]
- 15. [redacted]
- 16. [redacted]
- 17. [redacted]
- 18. [redacted]
- 19. [redacted]
- 20. [redacted]
- 21. [redacted]
- 22. [redacted]

121-23278-  
NOT RECORDED  
15 AUG 16 1951



F294  
SEP 15 1951

b7C



- 23. [REDACTED]
- 24. [REDACTED]
- 25. [REDACTED]
- 26. [REDACTED]
- 27. William T. Stone [REDACTED]
- 28. [REDACTED]
- 29. [REDACTED]

28251

b7c

8/16/51

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. D. M. LADD

DATE: August 2, 1951

FROM : A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT: TWENTY-NINE "SECURITY RISKS" IN  
STATE DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO  
SENATOR JOSEPH R. McCARTHY  
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEESPURPOSE:

COMMUNISTS IN THE STATE DEPT  
To advise you of the identity of the 29 individuals  
Senator McCarthy claims are "security risks" in the State Department.

BACKGROUND:

Recent Washington, D. C., newspapers have contained articles concerning 29 persons whom Senator McCarthy has claimed are "security risks" in the State Department. Senator McCarthy has been quoted in the newspapers as saying that he had made a "reasonable" request that he be assured that the 29 persons be barred from "secret materials" while their "loyalty" cases were pending before the State Department's Loyalty-Security Board. The State Department, according to these articles, refused to tell Senator McCarthy what it has done about these 29 individuals. McCarthy was said in these articles to have sent a letter to Dean Acheson listing these 29 persons and demanding immediate "assurances" from Acheson that all have been barred from secret information and said unless he got such assurances he would make the names public.

Undersecretary of State Carlisle H. Humelsine wrote the Senator that his allegation that the 29 persons he named are involved in pending loyalty cases "is incorrect." Humelsine said that some of these individuals are not State Department employees, some already have been cleared and some are "in process through the Loyalty Program."

The Liaison Section has secured the list of 29 persons furnished by Senator McCarthy to Dean Acheson.

A review of Bureau files reflects the following information concerning these individuals, all of whom have been investigated by the Bureau:

Investigated under Executive Order 9835 - 23  
Investigated under Voice of America Program - 6

cc: Special Inquiry Section

RECORDED-20

ORIGINAL COPY FILED IN

Employed by State Department	-	24
Separated [REDACTED]	-	2
Employed in another agency [REDACTED]	-	1
Suspended pending further hearings (Esther Brunauer [REDACTED])	-	$\frac{2}{29}$

There are set forth below the names as they appear on the list made available by the State Department together with information concerning the investigation conducted concerning these individuals:

1. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, April 18, 1950, and May 21, 1951, and to Department on March 24, 1949, April 21, 1950 and May 21, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED] For Eastern Command, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #49. [REDACTED]
2. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, and April 18, 1950, and to Department on March 24, 1949 and April 27, 1950, under caption [REDACTED] Department of State, Washington, D. C." Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #48. [REDACTED]
3. ESTHER BRUNAUER  
Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 2, 1948, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, and to the Department on March 9, 1949, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, under the caption "Dr. Esther Caukin Brunauer, aka Mrs. Stephen Brunauer, U. S. Representative to the Preparatory Commission of UNESCO, Department of State." Was Senator McCarthy's case #47. The Evening Star of April 10, 1951, reflects that Carlisle H. Humelsine, Deputy Undersecretary of State for Administration, announced the "suspension of Mrs. Esther Caukin Brunauer because of information received that the Department of the Navy had suspended her husband, Stephen Brunauer, under Navy Department loyalty and security procedures."

Civil Service  
Commission

b7

Mr. Humelsine added that Mrs. Brunauer's suspension was "taken automatically pending the outcome of the Department of Navy action concerning Mr. Brunauer." The Evening Star on June 19, 1951, carried an article stating that Dr. Stephen Brunauer had resigned his position with the Department of the Navy to remove a "source of embarrassment" to the Navy. A State Department official, according to the article, stated that Mrs. Brunauer remained under suspension and that a hearing would be afforded her by the Loyalty and Security Board of the State Department. [REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED] Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on June 18, 1948, April 21, 25, 1950, and November 15, 1950, and to the Department on April 21, 25, 1950, and November 15, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Office of Chief Specialist and Professors Branch, Division of International Exchange of Persons, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #55. (121-3702)

5. [REDACTED] Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 27, 1948, and April 20, 1950, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Special Inquiry - State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 22, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #23. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED] Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to Department on July 20, 1949, March 14, 1950, May 18, 1950, June 2, 13, 30, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty

b7c



Review Board by letter dated March 6, 1950, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." On June 27, 1951 he was suspended by the State Department as a "suspected security risk." On July 30, 1951 the State Department announced that [REDACTED] had been cleared of all suspicion and had been reinstated in his old job with the "full confidence" of the State Department. [REDACTED]

7. [REDACTED] Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on August 25, 1948, and November 28, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. [REDACTED]
8. [REDACTED] Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on September 15, 1948, April 26, 1950, and June 23, 1950, and to the Department on October 27, 1948, April 26, 1950 and June 23, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] for Occupied Areas, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated October 7, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #1. [REDACTED]
9. [REDACTED] Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on May 17, 1948, and April 21, 1950, and to the Department on March 29, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Social and Health Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 20, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #80. [REDACTED]
10. [REDACTED] Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on April 20, 1950 and May 2, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Public Liaison, Group Relations Branch, Public Views and Inquiries Section, Department of State, Washington, D. C." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #40. [REDACTED]

67C



11. HALDORE HANSON

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to the CSC on June 24, 1948, April 21, 28, 1950, May 8, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950, November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951, and to the Department on April 20, 21, 1950, May 3, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950, November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951, under the caption "Haldore Eugene Hanson, Executive Assistant to Assistant Secretary for Public and Cultural Relations, U. S. Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948 advised that Hanson had been "retained."

12. [REDACTED]

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on April 20, 1948, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and November 13, 1950, and to the Department on March 31, 1949, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and February 16, 1951 under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Commercial Policy, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated June 7, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #7. [REDACTED]

13. [REDACTED]

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 13, 24, 1950, and July 27, 1950, and to the Department on May 1, 1950 under the caption [REDACTED] Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated November 17, 1950, advised that [REDACTED] had "resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #65. [REDACTED]

14. PHILLIP JESSUP

Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on October 18, 1948, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, and to the Department on April 10, 21, 24, 29, 1950, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, under the caption "Philip Caryl Jessup, Ambassador at Large, U. S. Department of State, Washington, D. C." Was Senator McCarthy's case #15. [REDACTED]

b7C

15. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 17, 1950, May 22, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950 and November 4, 30, 1950, and to the Department on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 28, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950, and November 4, 1950. under the caption [REDACTED], Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C. "No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #28. [REDACTED]"
16. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America and the results sent to CSC on February 3, 1949, May 11, 1950, June 1, 1950, and January 4, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #24. [REDACTED]"
17. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on December 18, 1950. No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #56. [REDACTED]"
18. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under provisions of E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 5, 1948, April 21, 1948, September 21, 1948, April 17, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 1951, and July 31, 1951, and to the Department on March 28, 1949, April 21, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 21, 1951 and July 12, 31, 1951. under the caption [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Division of International Labor, Social and Health Affairs, Office of International Trade Policy, Department of State, Washington, D. C." Was Senator McCarthy's case #54. Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Reported to have been suspended by State Department in 1951. A Fraud Against the Government investigation is pending concerning [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

19. [REDACTED] investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 3, 1948, December 31, 1948 and April 19, 1950, and to the Department on February 28, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Occupied Areas, Office of Economic Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D. C. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated July 27, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #41.
20. [REDACTED] investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 27, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on April 19, 27, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Department of State, New York, New York. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 17, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #34.
21. [REDACTED] investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to the Department on May 19, 1950, August 30, 1950, and January 29, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Research for Europe, Office of the Chief, Department of State, Washington, D. C. No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #59.
22. [REDACTED] investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 28, 1950 under the caption [REDACTED] Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #50.

b1  
b7C



## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. A. H. BELMONT

FROM : C. H. STANLEY

SUBJECT: COMMUNIST INFILTRATION INTO THE  
STATE DEPARTMENT

DATE: May 15, 1951

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holloman \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

PURPOSE: In accordance with the Director's request to be advised of the number of the 570 persons in the State Department on whom we have conducted full field loyalty investigations in which there has been some evidence of Communist Party affiliations, sympathy or association are still employed in the State Department or some other Government agency, there is attached hereto a blind memorandum listing 142 of these cases to assist our liaison representative with the State Department in determining the present employment status of these individuals. U

BACKGROUND: By memorandum dated March 16, 1951, captioned "Communist Infiltration into the Armed Forces, Industrial Plants, and the State Department," the Director was advised that as of March 13, 1951, there had been a total of 570 loyalty cases conducted on applicant and employees of the State Department in which there had been some evidence of Communist Party affiliation, sympathy or association with individuals alleged to be Communist sympathizers at some time. The Director noted, "Just how many are still employed in State or some other Government agency. H." U

To determine the present employment status of these 570 individuals, files have been reviewed and a list prepared with necessary identifying data on 142 of these cases. This list, in blind memorandum form, is attached for the assistance of our liaison representative with the State Department to determine present employment status. If the individual is not employed by the State Department and State Department files show transfer to another Government agency, this information should be obtained. U

The remainder of the 570 cases are being reviewed and similar identifying data will be furnished to Liaison when additional lists are prepared. U

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that our liaison representative with the State Department contact that Department to determine the employment status of the individuals identified on the attached list. U

RECORDED - 26

121-23278-300X

NOV 30 1951

Attachment

57 NOV 16 1951

*Rec'd from State  
Sept 15/51*

28280

1. [REDACTED] - 4022

2. [REDACTED]

3. ~~Isaiah Brunauer~~ [REDACTED]

COMMUNISTS IN THE  
STATE DEPARTMENT

4. [REDACTED]

5. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]

7. [REDACTED]

8. [REDACTED]

9. [REDACTED]

10. [REDACTED]

11. [REDACTED]

12. [REDACTED]

13. [REDACTED]

14. ~~Phillip Jessup~~ [REDACTED]

15. [REDACTED]

16. [REDACTED]

17. [REDACTED]

18. [REDACTED]

19. [REDACTED]

20. [REDACTED]

21. [REDACTED]

22. [REDACTED]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

121-23278-  
15 AUG 16 1951

F294  
SEP 15 1951

b7C



- 23 [REDACTED]
- 24 [REDACTED]
- 25 [REDACTED]
- 26 [REDACTED]
- 27 William T. Stone [REDACTED]
- 28 [REDACTED]
- 29 [REDACTED]

28251

b7C

8/16/51

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. D. M. LADD

DATE: August 2, 1951

FROM : A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT: TWENTY-NINE "SECURITY RISKS" IN  
STATE DEPARTMENT ACCORDING TO  
SENATOR JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY  
LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEESPURPOSE:

To advise you of the identity of the 29 individuals  
Senator McCarthy claims are "security risks" in the State Department.

BACKGROUND:

Recent Washington, D. C., newspapers have contained articles concerning 29 persons whom Senator McCarthy has claimed are "security risks" in the State Department. Senator McCarthy has been quoted in the newspapers as saying that he had made a "reasonable" request that he be assured that the 29 persons be barred from "secret materials" while their "loyalty" cases were pending before the State Department's Loyalty-Security Board. The State Department, according to these articles, refused to tell Senator McCarthy what it has done about these 29 individuals. McCarthy was said in these articles to have sent a letter to Dean Acheson listing these 29 persons and demanding immediate "assurances" from Acheson that all have been barred from secret information and said unless he got such assurances he would make the names public.

Undersecretary of State Carlisle H. Humelsine wrote the Senator that his allegation that the 29 persons he named are involved in pending loyalty cases "is incorrect." Humelsine said that some of these individuals are not State Department employees, some already have been cleared and some are "in process through the Loyalty Program."

The Liaison Section has secured the list of 29 persons furnished by Senator McCarthy to Dean Acheson.

A review of Bureau files reflects the following information concerning these individuals, all of whom have been investigated by the Bureau:

Investigated under Executive Order 9835 - 23  
Investigated under Voice of America Program - 6

RECORDED-20

cc: Special Inquiry Section

F 78

RECORDED COPY FILED IN 29

Employed by State Department	-	24
Separated [REDACTED]	-	2
Employed in another agency [REDACTED]	-	1
Suspended pending further hearings (Esther Brunauer [REDACTED])	-	$\frac{2}{29}$

There are set forth below the names as they appear on the list made available by the State Department together with information concerning the investigation conducted concerning these individuals:

1. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, April 18, 1950, and May 21, 1951, and to Department on March 24, 1949, April 21, 1950 and May 21, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED], Far Eastern Command, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #49.
2. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on June 12, 1948, and April 18, 1950, and to Department on March 24, 1949 and April 27, 1950, under caption [REDACTED], Department of State, Washington, D. C." Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #48.
3. ESTHER BRUNAUER  
Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 2, 1948, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, and to the Department on March 9, 1949, April 29, 1950, June 28, 1950, July 31, 1950 and June 19, 21, 1951, under the caption "Dr. Esther Caukin Brunauer, aka Mrs. Stephen Brunauer, U. S. Representative to the Preparatory Commission of UNESCO, Department of State." Was Senator McCarthy's case #47. The Evening Star of April 10, 1951, reflects that Carlisle H. Humelsine, Deputy Undersecretary of State for Administration, announced the "suspension of Mrs. Esther Caukin Brunauer because of information received that the Department of the Navy had suspended her husband, Stephen Brunauer, under Navy Department loyalty and security procedures."

Civil Service  
Commission

b7

Mr. Humelsine added that Mrs. Bruncuer's suspension was "taken automatically pending the outcome of the Department of Navy action concerning Mr. Brunauer." The Evening Star on June 19, 1951, carried an article stating that Dr. Stephen Brunauer had resigned his position with the Department of the Navy to remove a "source of embarrassment" to the Navy. A State Department official, according to the article, stated that Mrs. Brunauer remained under suspension and that a hearing would be afforded her by the Loyalty and Security Board of the State Department. [REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on June 18, 1948, April 21, 25, 1950, and November 15, 1950, and to the Department on April 21, 25, 1950, and November 15, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Office of Chief Specialist and Professors Branch, Division of International Exchange of Persons, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #55. (121-3702)

5. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 27, 1948, and April 20, 1950, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Special Inquiry - State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 22, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #23. [REDACTED]

6. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under provisions of E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to Department on July 20, 1949, March 14, 1950, May 18, 1950, June 2, 13, 30, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]  
Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty

b7c



Review Board by letter dated March 6, 1950, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." On June 27, 1951 he was suspended by the State Department as a "suspected security risk." On July 30, 1951 the State Department announced that [REDACTED] had been cleared of all suspicion and had been reinstated in his old job with the "full confidence" of the State Department. [REDACTED]

7. [REDACTED] Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on August 25, 1948, and November 28, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. [REDACTED]
8. [REDACTED] Investigated under E. O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on September 15, 1948, April 26, 1950, and June 23, 1950, and to the Department on October 27, 1948, April 26, 1950 and June 23, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] for Occupied Areas, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated October 7, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #1. [REDACTED]
9. [REDACTED] Investigated under E. O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on May 17, 1948, and April 21, 1950, and to the Department on March 29, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Social and Health Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 20, 1948, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #80. [REDACTED]
10. [REDACTED] Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on April 20, 1950 and May 2, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Public Liaison, Group Relations Branch, Public Views and Inquiries Section, Department of State, Washington, D. C." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #40. [REDACTED]

67C



11. HALDORE HANSON

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to the CSC on June 24, 1948, April 21, 28, 1950, May 8, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950, November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951, and to the Department on April 20, 21, 1950, May 3, 1950, June 26, 30, 1950, September 12, 1950, November 14, 1950, March 12, 1951, and April 24, 1951, under the caption "Haldore Eugene Hanson, Executive Assistant to Assistant Secretary for Public and Cultural Relations, U. S. Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated September 30, 1948 advised that Hanson had been "retained."

12. [REDACTED]

Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on April 20, 1948, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and November 13, 1950, and to the Department on March 31, 1949, April 21, 1950, July 14, 1950 and February 16, 1951 under the caption [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Division of Commercial Policy, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated June 7, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #7. [REDACTED]

13. [REDACTED]

Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and results sent to CSC on April 13, 24, 1950, and July 27, 1950, and to the Department on May 1, 1950 under the caption [REDACTED] Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated November 17, 1950, advised that [REDACTED] had "resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #65. [REDACTED]

14. PHILLIP JESSUP

Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on October 18, 1948, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, and to the Department on April 10, 21, 24, 29, 1950, June 7, 9, 12, 22, 1950, and March 5, 1951, under the caption "Philip Caryl Jessup, Ambassador at Large, U. S. Department of State, Washington, D. C." Was Senator McCarthy's case #15. [REDACTED]

b7C

15. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 17, 1950, May 22, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950 and November 4, 30, 1950, and to the Department on July 14, 1949, September 21, 1949, April 28, 1950, September 14, 1950, October 16, 1950, and November 4, 1950 under the caption [REDACTED], Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C. "No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #28. [REDACTED]"
16. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America and the results sent to CSC on February 3, 1949, May 11, 1950, June 1, 1950, and January 4, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #24. [REDACTED]"
17. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on December 18, 1950. No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #56. [REDACTED]"
18. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under provisions of E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 5, 1948, April 21, 1948, September 21, 1948, April 17, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 1951, and July 31, 1951, and to the Department on March 28, 1949, April 21, 1950, August 14, 1950, May 14, 21, 1951 and July 12, 31, 1951, under the caption "[REDACTED]"  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Division of International Labor, Social and Health Affairs, Office of International Trade Policy, Department of State, Washington, D. C." Was Senator McCarthy's case #54. Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Reported to have been suspended by State Department in 1951. A Fraud Against the Government investigation is pending concerning [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

19. [REDACTED] Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 3, 1948, December 31, 1948 and April 19, 1950, and to the Department on February 28, 1949, and April 21, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Occupied Areas, Office of Economic Affairs, Department of State, Washington, D. C. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated July 27, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #41.
20. [REDACTED] Investigated under the provisions of E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on March 27, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on April 19, 27, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Department of State, New York, New York. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated August 17, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been declared "eligible on loyalty." Was Senator McCarthy's case #34.
21. [REDACTED] Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC and to the Department on May 19, 1950, August 30, 1950, and January 29, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED] Division of Research for Europe, Office of the Chief, Department of State, Washington, D. C. No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #59.
22. [REDACTED] Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 28, 1950 under the caption [REDACTED] Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America. No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #50.

b7  
c



23.

[REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on July 26, 1948, April 17, 1950, and December 1, 1950, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, April 27, 1950, and December 1, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], Economist, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated December 8, 1950, advised that [REDACTED] had "resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." [REDACTED] was Senator McCarthy's case #77. The Criminal Division of Justice is presenting this matter to the Grand Jury in Washington, D. C., in connection with possible violation of Section 1001, Title 18, U. S. Code, for alleged false statements made by [REDACTED] and his wife in proceedings before the Loyalty Board for the Department of State on November 4, 1948, and for possible violation of Section 371, Title 18, U. S. Code, for conspiracy to give false information. In connection with this Fraud Against the Government investigation additional information was furnished to the Department on December 4, 1950, January 3, 1951, March 27, 1951, April 7, 1951, May 4, 9, 1951, June 4, 6, 12, 23, 26, 27, 30, 1951, and July 2, 6, 11, 12, 18, 1951. [REDACTED]

24.

[REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 1, 1948, and April 24, 1950, and to the Department on April 20, 24, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Department of State, Mexico City, Mexico." The Loyalty Review Board advised by letter dated September 20, 1948, that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #52. [REDACTED]

25.

[REDACTED]  
Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on April 11, 1950, and April 18, 1950, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #32. [REDACTED]

26. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 23, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated January 7, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #50. [REDACTED]
27. WILLIAM T. STONE  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 30, 1948, July 19, 1950, August 4, 1950, November 14, 1950, and July 3, 1951, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, July 19, 1950, August 4, 1950, November 14, 1950, and July 3, 1951, under the caption "William Treadwell Stone, Information and Editorial Specialist, (Chairman, Interdepartmental Foreign Information Staff), Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated May 27, 1949, advised that Stone had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #46. [REDACTED]
28. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 13, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on March 24, 1949, and April 26, 1950, under the caption "[REDACTED] Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #6. [REDACTED]
29. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on August 4, 21, 28, 1950, September 1, 6, 12, 1950, October 24, 1950, March 22, 23, 1951, April 21, 27, 30, 1951 June 1, 7, 13, 1951, and July 19, 1951, under the caption "[REDACTED] Department of State, [REDACTED]" No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #2. [REDACTED]

b7C



RECOMMENDATION:

This is for your information.

A copy of this memorandum has been designated for the  
Special Inquiry Section.

August 23, 1951

[REDACTED]  
Boothbay Harbor, Maine

Dear [REDACTED]

RECORDED - 104 101-3278-302  
INDEXED - 104  
Your letter dated August 16, 1951, together with enclosure, has been received in the absence of Mr. Hoover from Washington and I am taking the liberty of acknowledging it.

You may be sure that Mr. Hoover will appreciate your communicating with him.

Sincerely yours,

G.I.R.  
Helen W. Gandy  
Secretary

[REDACTED]

67c

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Aluen \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Laughlin \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

15. AUG 24 10 03 AM '51

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED DIRECTOR

AUG 24 1951

6 SEP 20 1951

AUG 23 4 20 PM '51

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

ON THE COAST OF MAINE



Aug. 16, 1951

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover  
Federal Bureau  
of Investigation  
Washington, D.C.

McCarthy

Dear Mr. Hoover:

R 7-1

I am enclosing a clipping from the editorial page of the New York Times. I like it. There is one thing I cannot understand. Why can't someone do something to Senator McCarthy, and broad casters and columnists who are saying such dreadful things about the government?

ENCL  
104

Wm  
8/23/51

RECORDED - 104

EST

SEP 5 1951

SEP 5 1951

18

1121-23278-309

6K



If a college professor  
or school teacher had  
made only one of the  
accusations which these  
three individuals have  
made repeatedly against  
the government, they would  
immediately be condemned,  
and rightly so, by the  
un-American Activities  
Committee.

What is interesting  
Senator McCarthy? Has  
he anything in mind but  
to discredit the  
administration, and  
falsely?

McCarthy knew  
the answer!  
Lamentably.



67C



near.

## LOYALTY IN GOVERNMENT

A little over eighteen months ago the junior Senator from Wisconsin announced to a woman's club in Wheeling, W. Va., that he had in his hand a list of more than two hundred persons who "were known to the Secretary of State as being members of the Communist party and who nevertheless are still working and shaping the policy of the State Department." The Senator was, of course, Joseph R. McCarthy, and the speech was the first of a series that, unfortunately, has not yet ended. The number of alleged Communists Mr. McCarthy has detected in and out of the State Department has been almost as variable as the weather, but his theme has been consistent. He has not, however, uncovered a single proven spy.

In our view, this McCarthy ruckus has been not only tremendously harmful but also tragically unnecessary. It is often forgotten, but none the less true, that years before McCarthy had made any impact on the national scene a thoroughgoing loyalty and security program had been instituted for Government employees under a Presidential order of March 21, 1947. Under this program the records of all employees or prospective employees of the Federal Government are checked against F. B. I. files. If any derogatory data turn up, a "full field investigation" by the F. B. I. follows. The results are considered by a loyalty board within the governmental agency concerned, at hearings to which the accused person has right of counsel but, in order to protect confidential informants, no right to cross-examine or to be confronted by his accusers. Appeals may be taken to the head of the agency and finally to the central Loyalty Review Board. This program has been subject to criticism on the ground that the rights of the employee (or applicant) are not adequately protected.

In the case of "security" as distinct from "loyalty" risks, procedure in the various governmental agencies is far less uniform, and in some respects much more peremptory. The President has lately asked the National Security Council to investigate this phase of the problem with a view to establishing more consistent standards, and perhaps setting up a central board of review similar to that which already exists for loyalty cases.

In fact, the terms under which the loyalty and security inquiries are now conducted are so broad that some critics feel they are infringing on traditional American liberties. Every Government employee has by this time been subjected to loyalty screening at least once and in some cases more than once, and some 330 have been dismissed as of June 30. The inquiries have been thorough and painstaking. The F. B. I. has proved its worth too many times for anyone to doubt that. The various loyalty boards (headed in the State Department, for instance, by a New Hampshire Republican) and the Loyalty Review Board (headed by a former Republican Senator from Connecticut) are conscientious and non-political.

The fact is that the Government is and has been as well protected against disloyalty or subversion among its own employees as any Government could possibly hope to be. To save it from its internal enemies it has not needed the reckless irresponsibility of a Senator McCarthy or what President Truman decried this week as "the growing practice of character assassination." Excursions into this politically tempting field have been unnecessary, unproductive and unconscionable. It is long past the time for demagogues and their political backers to recognize that such tactics themselves constitute a danger to the strength and the stability of the Republic.

ENCLOSURE

121-23277-22

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

\_\_\_\_\_, 1951

TO:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Director	_____ Mr. Mohr 5744
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mr. Tolson 5744	_____ Miss Gandy 5633
_____ Mr. Ladd 5736	_____ Mr. Nease 5633
_____ Mr. Clegg 5256	_____ Records Sec. 7235
_____ Mr. Glavin 5517	_____ Pers. Records 6635
_____ Mr. Harbo 7625	_____ Reading Room 5531
_____ Mr. Nichols 5640	_____ Mail Room 5533
_____ Mr. Rosen 5706	_____ Teletype 5644
_____ Mr. Tracy 4130 IB	_____ Leave Clerk 2706
_____ Mr. Belmont 1742	_____ Mechanical B-114
_____ Mr. Laughlin 1742	_____ Supply Room B-118
_____ Mr. McGuire 5642	_____ Miss Lurz
_____ Mr. Holloman 5636	_____ Mrs. Chisholm
_____ Mr. Jones 4236	_____ Miss Brown
_____ Mr. Leonard 6222IB	_____ Miss Corrigan
_____ Mr. Wherry 5537	_____
_____ Mr. Sizoo 7204	_____
_____ Mr. Trotter 7204	_____
_____ Mr. English 5627	_____ Prepare Reply
_____ See me	_____ Note & return
_____ Send file	_____ For appropriate
_____ For your info	_____ action
_____ Mr. Tolson	_____
_____ Mr. Ladd	_____
_____ Mr. Clegg	_____
_____ Mr. Glavin	_____
_____ Mr. Rosen	_____
_____ Mr. Tracy	_____
_____ Mr. Harbo	_____
_____ Mr. Nichols	_____
_____ Mr. Belmont	_____
_____ Mr. Laughlin	_____
_____ Mr. Mohr	_____
_____ Tele. Room	_____
_____ Mr. Nease	_____

L. B. Nichols  
Room 5640, Ext. 691

67C

Communist In State Dept.

OFFICE OF THE  
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL



September 12, 1951

R.S

Lou:

For your information this is  
a copy of the letter I read to you  
yesterday. Note change you sug-  
gested in last paragraph.

CWP

told Chise Palmer  
to go to him but  
did not. I am accuracy  
to his H. H. H. H. H.

RECORDED - 46

121-23278-303

SEP 18 1951

12

EX-105

674  
H6  
ENCL



September 12, 1951

████████████████████  
Milwaukee 3, Wisconsin

My dear ██████████

This will acknowledge your letter of September 6, 1951, in which you refer to a letter addressed by me to Senator Tydings, dated June 16, 1950, in reference to material appearing in loyalty files of certain employees of the State Department.

In response to your inquiry I wish to advise that my letter of June 16, 1950, was written to Senator Tydings following the furnishing to me by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of a record of the material which had been furnished to the State Department in these cases and the checking by my office staff to make certain that all of the recorded material was actually in the State Department files.

Thereafter, under date of June 27, 1950, Senator McCarthy wrote to the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation inquiring whether that Bureau had checked to make certain that the files were complete and that nothing had been removed therefrom. The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, under date of July 10, 1950, advised Senator McCarthy that the Federal Bureau of Investigation had made no such examination and was, therefore, not in a position to make any statement concerning the completeness or incompleteness of the State Department files. He added a paragraph, however, explaining that the Bureau had furnished me at my request a record of all loyalty material furnished the State Department referred to, and he transmitted to Senator McCarthy a copy of my letter of June 16, 1950.

Following further charges that these files were not complete, the Attorney General on July 12, 1950, requested the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to examine these files. This examination was made by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and based upon a memorandum from the Director,

121-23278 303

ENCLOSURE



dated July 13, 1950, the Attorney General addressed a letter,  
dated July 17, 1950, to Senator Tydings, a copy of which is en-  
closed for your information. This represents the complete story  
as shown by the files of the Department of Justice.

Yours sincerely,

Peyton Ford  
Deputy Attorney General

67C

0-20

Tolson ☒

Ladd ☒

Clegg ☒

Glavin ☒

Nichols ☒

Rosen ☒

Tracy ☒

Harbo ☒

Alden ☒

Belmont ☒

Laughlin ☒

Mohr ☒

Tele. Room ☒

Nease ☒

Gandy ☒

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

*Get me have a  
short memo  
refreshing my  
memory about this*

*Joseph P. McCarthy*

ADD 5. MCCARTHY

4. MCCARTHY'S "FALSELY STATING ON THE SENATE FLOOR" THAT FORMER SEN. MILLARD TYDINGS, D. MD., HAD FORCED HIM TO MAKE PUBLIC THE NAMES OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AGAINST WHOM MCCARTHY HAD MADE CHARGES "ESTABLISHES THAT MCCARTHY PRACTICES CALCULATED DECEIT ON THE UNITED STATES SENATE AND THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTRY."

5. MCCARTHY'S PART IN LAST YEAR'S MARYLAND SENATORIAL ELECTION "REVIEWS THE FRAUD AND DECEIT PRACTICED BY SENATOR MCCARTHY ON THE SENATE, ON THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES AND ON THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND."

6. MCCARTHY'S OFFER TO REPEAT OFF THE SENATE FLOOR "LIBELOUS" STATEMENTS WHICH HE LATER REFUSED TO REPEAT, BENTON SAID, IS "AN INSTANCE OF DELIBERATE DECEPTION OF THE SENATE."

7. BENTON SAID MCCARTHY'S TACTICS ARE ALSO DEMONSTRATED BY HIS USE OF WHAT HE CLAIMED WAS AN FBI CHART. BENTON SAID FBI DIRECTOR HOOVER DENIED THAT ANY SUCH CHART WAS SENT TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OR EVALUATED BY THE FBI.

8. "CONSCIOUS AND DELIBERATE DECEPTION" IS ILLUSTRATED BY MCCARTHY'S PROMISE TO LIST THE NAMES OF 81 COMMUNISTS IN GOVERNMENT FOR ANY SENATE COMMITTEE AND HIS LATER RENEGING ON THAT PROMISE BECAUSE THE CASES ACTUALLY CAME FROM AN OLD LIST PREVIOUSLY COMPILED BY A HOUSE COMMITTEE, BENTON SAID.

9. THE "QUESTION" IS RAISED, BENTON SAID, OF WHETHER MCCARTHY "FALSELY ACCUSED AMERICANS AND EXCUSED CONVICTED GERMANS INVOLVED IN THE INFAMOUS MALMEY MASSACRE, POSSIBLY ON THE SAY-SO OF A MEMBER OF THE GERMAN UNDERGROUND, AND WHETHER SENATOR MCCARTHY DELIBERATELY LIED ABOUT THE MALMEY AFFAIR ON THE FLOOR OF THE SENATE."

10. BENTON SAID THAT MCCARTHY "CONTINUES TO EMPLOY A MAN DESCRIBED BY A SENATE COMMITTEE AS HIS ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT WHO, WHILE IN HIS EMPLOY, IS CHARGED WITH COMMITTING PERJURY AS WELL AS WITH OTHER SERIOUS MISDEEDS." BENTON SAID THE CASE "ADDS TO THE EVIDENCE THAT SENATOR MCCARTHY HIMSELF COMMITTED PERJURY."

9/28--H1113A

RECORDED - 29

EX - 15

WASHINGTON CITY NEWS SERVICE

*mem to Director  
9-29-51*

*121-23278-304*

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: September 29, 1951

FROM : D. M. LADD *DL*SUBJECT: SENATOR McCARTHY'S CHARGE CONCERNING  
AN FBI CHART ON "SUBVERSIVES" IN THE  
STATE DEPARTMENTALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISETolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Quinn Tamm \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
Holloman \_\_\_\_\_PURPOSE:

To furnish, pursuant to your request, a summary of the background concerning Senator William Benton's (D., Conn.) charge that Senator Joseph R. McCarthy's (R., Wisc.) tactics are in part demonstrated by his use of what he claimed was "an FBI chart" of 1947 listing 124 subversives or suspects in the State Department. Senator Benton pointed out that you had denied such a chart was sent to the State Department or evaluated by the FBI.

BACKGROUND:

On June 6, 1950, Senator McCarthy in a speech before the Senate stated in substance that around May 15, 1946 or 1947, the FBI supplied the State Department charts and diagrams showing Soviet Agents, Communists and fellow travelers in the State Department. This chart, according to Senator McCarthy, contained 124 names broken down as follows: 20 Communist Agents, 12 other Communists, 14 Communist sympathizers, 77 suspects.

Inquiry at the State Department disclosed that the material used by Senator McCarthy originated from a State Department report prepared by Samuel Klaus on August 3, 1946. This report was in the form of a survey made by Klaus for Joseph Parnuch, a former Deputy to the Assistant Secretary for Administration. Klaus in this report referred to an FBI prepared chart. Mr. Samuel Boykin of the State Department informed the Bureau that this was a misstatement of facts by Klaus.

The chart in question, which was actually prepared by the State Department, carried the title, "Top Secret, U. S. Department of State, Preliminary Survey of the Communist Infiltration, Prepared May 15, 1946." The employees were generally divided into two groups: (1) Soviet Underground Intelligence Connections and (2) Amerasia. Actually there were four charts in all, none of which appeared to be a finished product. The employees were also broken down into the following categories: Agents (20), Communists (13) and pointed out that the computation of sympathizers (14) and suspects (77) had not been completed. (121-23278-178X2)

RECORDED - 29

OCT 3 1951

INDEXED 29

121-23278-305

67 OCT 9 1951 F392

The State Department in a formal press release dated June 9, 1950, stated:

"As previously stated by the Department, neither the chart itself nor the report of August 3, 1946 in which Senator McCarthy has cited a reference to the chart, was prepared by, or sent to the State Department by, the FBI, and this has been verified to the Department of State both by the Department of Justice and by the FBI. On the contrary, the chart and the report were prepared within the Department of State itself. The chart was prepared on May 15, 1946 and the report on August 3, 1946.

"The Department of State itself, after consultation with the writer of the report, with the former Security Officer under whose direction and in whose office the chart was prepared, with certain of his then subordinates familiar with the chart, and with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and after reviewing working papers which are still in our file, has conclusively determined that the chart was not prepared or furnished by the FBI, but was prepared as an investigator's working document in the Department of State in 1946 and by employees of the Department of State. Interview with the writer of the report, who is still in the Department, and the Security Officer with whom he had a conversation about the chart established that the writer of the report drew from his conversation with the Security Officer the unintentionally erroneous conclusion that the chart was prepared in the FBI."

The Bureau in a letter to the Honorable James E. Webb, Undersecretary of State, Department of State, Washington, D. C., dated June 14, 1950, pointed out the following: "The comments made by Mr. Klaus in his report concerning this alleged 'FBI Chart,' as they appeared in the newspapers, were completely erroneous. This Bureau did not send any such chart to the State Department, and, of course, made no evaluation of information as was indicated in the report."

ACTION:

This is for your information. 4



## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. L. L. LAUGHLIN *LL*

FROM : C. H. STANLEY *CHS*

SUBJECT: COMMUNIST INFILTRATION INTO  
THE STATE DEPARTMENT

DATE: August 31, 1951

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_

PURPOSE: To advise that the names of 570 persons in the State Department on whom we have conducted full field investigations, have been checked against State Department files in accordance with the Director's request to be advised of the number still employed in the State Department or elsewhere in the Government; to advise that the names of those persons not still employed by the State Department must now be checked with the Civil Service Commission to determine if they are employed elsewhere by the Government.

BACKGROUND: By memorandum dated March 16, 1951, captioned "Communist Infiltration into the Armed Forces, Industrial Plants and the State Department," the Director was advised that as of March 13, 1951, there had been a total of 570 loyalty cases conducted on applicants and employees of the State Department in which there had been some evidence of Communist Party affiliation, sympathy or association with individuals alleged to be Communist sympathizers at some time. The Director noted "Just how many are still employed in State or some other Government agency. H." 61-1557-61 ✓

To determine the present employment status of the 570 persons mentioned above, the files were reviewed and a list prepared containing the necessary identifying data. The list was made available to the State Department by our liaison representative. It has now been returned with appropriate notations indicating that many of these people are still employed by the State Department and that others are not so employed and their places of present employment are unknown.

A copy of the list of names checked by the State Department is attached. In each instance where the person was employed by the State Department, an "X" has been drawn through his name. In other words, it is now necessary to check CSC records to determine whether those persons whose names have not been X'd on the attached list are presently employed in the Government.

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that our liaison representative furnish the attached list of names to the CSC with the request that each name not X'd be checked against CSC files to determine whether that person is presently employed by the Federal Government.

Attachment 7-78  
OEC:hmm

RECORDED - 32

EX-79

121-23278-306  
SEP 25 1951

b7C

## Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD

DATE: November 5, 1951

SUBJECT: SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS  
AND PRIVILEGES

ATTENTION: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOUIS B. NICHOLS

Senator Joseph McCarthy

[redacted] Investigator for the Senate Subcommittee on Elections and Privileges, contacted Agent [redacted] of this office, on Friday, November 2, 1951, at which time [redacted] desired to know from Agent [redacted] any information he might have in his possession regarding Senator JOSEPH McCARTHY of Wisconsin, and an employee in the Senator's Office. [redacted] stated he had obtained Agent [redacted] name from JOHN P. MOORE who is the General Counsel for that committee. Agent [redacted] advises me he does not know JOHN P. MOORE.

[redacted] desired to know if Agent [redacted] knew of any derogatory information regarding Senator JOSEPH McCARTHY, and more especially, if Agent [redacted] was familiar with Case Number 10. Agent [redacted] advised [redacted] that Case Number 10 was not known to him, at which time [redacted] desired to know if Senator McCARTHY had furnished information to the Bureau regarding DAVIS, a negro who was arrested by the Swiss authorities. Agent [redacted] informed [redacted] that he was not in a position to advise if the above was true or not, at which time [redacted] desired to know who in the Bureau could verify or deny this information. [redacted] was given the name of Assistant Director LOUIS B. NICHOLS.

[redacted] then desired to know from Agent [redacted] what information Agent [redacted] had concerning [redacted] former Special Agent of this Bureau who is now employed as an investigator by Senator McCARTHY. Agent [redacted] informed [redacted] that he knew [redacted] but knew nothing about him. [redacted] then desired to know who in the Bureau would be in a position to give information concerning [redacted] activities and ability while he was employed as a Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The name of Assistant Director LOUIS B. NICHOLS was again given to [redacted]

[redacted] then requested of Agent [redacted] what he knew concerning the ANNA ROSENBERG case. [redacted] was informed by Agent [redacted] he was not in a position to discuss this case with him, at which time [redacted] stated he had been informed by Mr. MOORE that Agent [redacted] was the Bureau's liaison representative with the Department of Defense. [redacted] was informed by Agent [redacted] that

Mr. Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Boardman \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Laughlin \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Miss Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN  
OTHERWISE

62  
MJL:NPB

RECORDED - 73

INDEXED - 73

121-3278-307  
NOV 9 1951

Letter to Director  
RE: SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE ON  
ELECTIONS AND PRIVILEGES

November 5, 1951

he was not in a position to discuss this case with him or anyone else, at which time [redacted] then inquired of Agent [redacted] concerning what he might know regarding one [redacted]. [redacted], as the Bureau knows, was one of the original complainants before the Senate Armed Services Committee against ANNA ROSENBERG, it being noted that [redacted] informed this committee that he knew ANNA M. ROSENBERG, Assistant Secretary of Defense, as a member of the JOHN REED Club. [redacted] was informed by Agent [redacted] he had never met [redacted], nor did he know anything about him.

SENATOR WILLIAM BENTON

[redacted] informed Agent [redacted] that he was working for this Senate Subcommittee in an effort to obtain derogatory information against Senator McCARTHY for Senator BENTON of Ohio. [redacted] stated Senator BENTON was attempting to impeach McCARTHY, or discredit him in any way. [redacted] made the statement that according to his investigation, Senator BENTON would, at this time, settle for censure of McCARTHY, it being [redacted] opinion that the investigation had not uncovered any evidence that would bring about the impeachment of Senator McCARTHY.

[redacted] stated he would contact Assistant Director LOUIS B. NICHOLS in regard to Senator McCARTHY's furnishing information to the Bureau regarding DAVIS, as well as what information the Bureau had concerning the activities and ability of [redacted] former Special Agent of the FBI.

b7c  
We must meticulously  
refrain from furnishing  
any information. All  
files are confidential &  
can only be made available  
by R. J. or Vanech.



23. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC on July 26, 1948, April 17, 1950, and December 1, 1950, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, April 27, 1950, and December 1, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED], Economist, Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated December 8, 1950, advised that [REDACTED] had "resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service prior to decision on loyalty." [REDACTED] was Senator McCarthy's case #77. The Criminal Division of Justice is presenting this matter to the Grand Jury in Washington, D. C., in connection with possible violation of Section 1001, Title 18, U. S. Code, for alleged false statements made by [REDACTED] and his wife in proceedings before the Loyalty Board for the Department of State on November 4, 1948, and for possible violation of Section 371, Title 18, U. S. Code, for conspiracy to give false information. In connection with this Fraud Against the Government investigation additional information was furnished to the Department on December 4, 1950, January 3, 1951, March 27, 1951, April 7, 1951, May 4, 9, 1951, June 4, 6, 12, 23, 26, 27, 30, 1951, and July 2, 6, 11, 12, 18, 1951. [REDACTED]

24. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 1, 1948, and April 24, 1950, and to the Department on April 20, 24, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED], Department of State, Mexico City, Mexico." The Loyalty Review Board advised by letter dated September 20, 1948, that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #52. [REDACTED]

25. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America, and the results sent to CSC on April 11, 1950, and April 18, 1950, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED], Special Inquiry-State Department, Public Law 402, 80th Congress, Voice of America." No disposition from Loyalty Review Board in file. Was Senator McCarthy's case #32. [REDACTED]



26. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 22, 1948, April 28, 1950, and February 17, 1951, and to the Department on March 23, 1949, and April 23, 1950, under the caption [REDACTED] Department of State, Washington, D. C. The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated January 7, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #50. [REDACTED]
27. WILLIAM T. STONE  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on July 30, 1948, July 19, 1950, August 4, 1950, November 14, 1950, and July 3, 1951, and to the Department on April 24, 1950, July 19, 1950, August 4, 1950, November 14, 1950, and July 3, 1951, under the caption "William Treadwell Stone, Information and Editorial Specialist, (Chairman, Interdepartmental Foreign Information Staff), Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated May 27, 1949, advised that Stone had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #46. [REDACTED]
28. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and results sent to CSC on March 13, 1948, and April 17, 1950, and to the Department on March 24, 1949, and April 26, 1950, under the caption "[REDACTED] Department of State, Washington, D. C." The Loyalty Review Board by letter dated February 4, 1949, advised that [REDACTED] had been "retained." Was Senator McCarthy's case #6. [REDACTED]
29. [REDACTED]  
Investigated under E.O. 9835 and the results sent to CSC and to the Department on August 4, 21, 28, 1950, September 1, 6, 12, 1950, October 24, 1950, March 22, 23, 1951, April 21, 27, 30, 1951 June 1, 7, 13, 1951, and July 19, 1951, under the caption [REDACTED] Department of State, [REDACTED] No disposition in file from Loyalty Review Board. Was Senator McCarthy's case #2. [REDACTED]

RECOMMENDATION:

*This is for your information.*

*A copy of this memorandum has been designated for the  
Special Inquiry Section.*

August 23, 1951

[REDACTED]  
Boothbay Harbor, Maine

Dear [REDACTED]

INDEXED - 104

RECORDED - 104

104-721-3278-302  
Your letter dated August 16, 1951, together with enclosure, has been received in the absence of Mr. Hoover from Washington and I am taking the liberty of acknowledging it.

You may be sure that Mr. Hoover will appreciate your communicating with him.

Sincerely yours,

G.I.R. 9  
Helen W. Gandy  
Secretary

[REDACTED]

67c

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Ladd \_\_\_\_\_  
Clegg \_\_\_\_\_  
Glavin \_\_\_\_\_  
Nichols \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Tracy \_\_\_\_\_  
Harbo \_\_\_\_\_  
Alven \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Laughlin \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Nease \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

15. AUG 24 10 03 AM '51

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED

AUG 24 1951

SEP 20 1951

AUG 23 4 20 PM '51

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED

RECEIVED